

Town of Southern Shores

5375 N. Virginia Dare Trail, Southern Shores, NC 27949 Phone 252-261-2394 / Fax 252-255-0876 www.southernshores-nc.gov

8 9

MEETING MINUTES

PLANNING BOARD MEETING-SEPTEMBER 18, 2023, 5:00 P.M.

LOCATION: PITTS CENTER-5377 N VIRGINIA DARE TRAIL, SOUTHERN SHORES, NC 27949

12 13

10

11

14 **CALL TO ORDER:**

15 Chairperson Ward called the meeting to order at 5:00 pm. Planning Board Members Ed Lawler. Robert McClendon, Jan Collins, Michael Zehner, Tony DiBernardo (Vice Chairperson), Andy Ward 17 (Chairperson) and Deputy Town Manager/Planning Director Wes Haskett were present. Attorney 18 Lauren Arizaga-Womble was also present by Zoom.

19 20

16

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chairperson Ward led the Pledge of Allegiance.

21 22 23

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

24 Chairperson Ward suggested amending the agenda to consider New Business Item B. Rules of 25 Procedure before Public Comment.

Motion made by Planning Board Member Collins to approve the agenda with amendment, Seconded by Planning Board Vice Chairperson DiBernardo. The motion passed unanimously.

27 28 29

26

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo nominated Andy Ward as Chairperson, seconded by Planning Board Member Lawler. Unanimous vote to elect Andy Ward Chairperson.

31 32 33

30

Chairperson Ward nominated Tony DiBernardo as Vice Chairperson, seconded by Planning Board Member Lawler. Unanimous vote to elect Tony DiBernardo Vice Chairperson.

34 35 36

37

APPROVAL OF MINUTES-AUGUST 9, 2023

Motion made by Vice Chairperson DiBernardo to approve the August 9, 2023, Planning Board minutes, Seconded by Planning Board Member Lawler. The motion passed unanimously.

38 39 40

41 42

43

APPROVAL OF VA-23-01-ORDER DENYING VARIANCE

The Board of Adjustment of the Town of Southern Shores held a Public Hearing on July 17, 2023 to consider a variance application submitted by Lindsey Lupino seeking to vary Town Code Section 36-165(8) Table C and Section 36-165(2)b on the parcel of property located at 5500 N. Croatan Hwy. The Board, having heard all of the evidence, voted to deny the variance.

Motion made by Chairperson Ward to accept the Order Denying Variance 23-01 with the amendment
 correcting the word "granted" to "denied" found on page 2, Seconded by Planning Board Member
 Lawler. The motion passed unanimously.

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo explained the variance request came from the store Five Below requesting a very large sign, beyond what the ordinance allows.

RULES OF PROCEDURE AMENDMENTS

Chairperson Ward stated beside some minor changes, the amendment addresses absences of members, this also would apply to alternate members.

Planning Board Member Zehner recommended keeping things clear by adding under section one Applicability Policy a statement under the end of the paragraph that says, *the policy applies to regular and alternate members*. All Planning Board members agreed by consensus.

Motion made by Chairperson Ward to approve the Planning Board's Rules of Procedure as amended to include Planning Board Member Zehner's recommendation, Seconded by Planning Board Member Lawler. The motion passed unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Chairperson Ward opened public comment and the following citizens all offered comment on the new business agenda item SUP-23-01, a Special Use Permit application on behalf of Ginguite, LLC for a mixed-use group development of commercial and residential buildings at 6195 N. Croatan Hwy.

<u>Richard Filling</u>-131 Crooked Back Loop-need to ensure the proper water approval is received from Federal and State agencies.

<u>Dixie Kirby</u>-321 N. Dogwood Trail-opposed to the development of the commercial parcel. Surprised by the extent of the proposed plans, goes against Frank Stick's vision and spirit.

<u>Matthew Huband</u>-110 Landing Trail-pleased the staff report has a 50 ft. setback as a condition. Please look carefully at the entry and exit near Landing Trail and ensure there is a long-range plan for the maintenance and viability of the wastewater treatment plant.

<u>Lynn Usher</u>-121 Ocean Blvd. [distributed the attached letter] the development will intrude on ministries in our outdoor sanctuary.

<u>Arleen Arnold</u>-All Saints Episcopal Church-gifted the playground to the Episcopal Church and had it dedicated to her granddaughter who passed away in the Sandy Hook tragedy. Development is going to disturb the land and create water and mosquito issues.

<u>Willow Nash</u>-28 Duck Woods Drive- concerned about the safety of children and animals, taking away from the residential area.

Tim Nash-28 Duck Woods Drive-opposed, no more building. Development will contribute to the
 excessive traffic, more strain on the Police Department (not enough manpower to handle increase in
 density) destruction of the wetlands.

93 94

<u>Caroline Rhoads-</u> opposed to the development of the parcel.

95 96

97

<u>Elizabeth Ryan</u>-19 Spindrift Trail-great love and pride for the community, development if approved will be detrimental. Environmental impact, increased traffic (will need traffic assessment), boat traffic.

98 99 100

<u>Audra Krieg</u>-174 Duck Woods Drive-need to consider impact on the environment, how can the proposed development fit on the marsh land-overbuilding. This community was built on family.

101102103

<u>Tim Baker-501</u> Martins Point Road-need a traffic study, residents of development will use the light at Martin's Point, pedestrian traffic worries, a community marina cannot be developed in that area, boating needs to be restricted.

105106107

104

<u>Mike Magnum</u>-8 Foxwood Circle-Town needs to restrict the development, traffic study needs to be done, no left onto Landing drive from development, 50 ft. setback should be required.

108109110

<u>Bob Healy</u>-5205 Barlow Lane, Kitty Hawk-opposed to development, need to be extremely careful about not only this development but future development as well.

111112113

<u>Ann McDowell-</u>5025 Martin's Point Rd.-primary fish nursery, concerned about marina, what will be the impact on the marshes and the water.

114115116

<u>Bill Wiese</u>-6069 Martin's Point Rd.-don't give an inch, this developer will take it.

117

Chairperson Ward closed public comment.

118119

120 NEW BUSINESS

SUP-23-01, a Special Use Permit application submitted by Cathleen M. Saunders, P.E. on behalf of Ginguite, LLC for a mixed-use group development of commercial and residential buildings at 6195 N. Croatan Hwy.

124

125 Planning Director Wes Haskett presented the staff report which read as, the applicant seeks a Special 126 Use Permit for a mixed use group development of commercial and residential buildings at 6195 N. 127 Croatan Hwy. The proposed development consists of one residential building (east building) and one 128 building with residential units, retail area, office space, and a restaurant (west building). The total 129 number of proposed residential units is 36. Parking areas include the use of permeable pavement in 130 order to be eligible for a maximum gross lot coverage of 67% instead of 60%. Currently, the proposed 131 gross lot coverage is 64.2%. The proposed net lot coverage for the residential buildings and parking 132 spaces is 27.4%. A 20 ft. vegetative buffer is shown along the northern property line adjacent to the 133 All Saints' Episcopal Church property. A vegetative buffer consisting of one understory tree and one 134 shrub alternated 10 ft. on center is proposed along a portion of the eastern property line adjacent to

135 Southern Shores Landing.

A 5 ft. vegetative buffer is proposed along the southern property line adjacent to the Hwy. 158 right-of-way which has been modified so that it does not come within 50 ft. of existing Dominion power poles. Proposed signage for the development includes two monument/freestanding signs and six "Commercial Parking Only" signs. There are 200 proposed parking spaces (including credit for 3 spaces for 3 bicycle racks), with 42 of them being permeable, and 199 spaces are required. Sanitary sewer for the development is to be collected via a gravity sewer network. The system will collect to an off-site lift station that will pump sewage to an existing wastewater treatment plant that currently serves Southern Shores Landing. A lighting plan and specifications have also been provided and the lighting plan shows that the proposed lighting does not exceed one footcandle of light at the property lines.

RECOMMENDATION

- The Town's Land Use Plan identifies the subject property as Commercial in the C, General
 Commercial zoning district which is consistent with the improvements proposed in the application.
 However, the subject property is adjacent to properties that are identified in the Land Use Plan as
 Residential. The Town's Land Use Plan contains the following Goal, Policies and Action Items that are
 applicable to the application:
 - Goal 2: Protect, enhance and support land uses that are compatible with surrounding land uses and maintain the existing character of Southern Shores.

Policy 2: The community values and the Town will continue to comply with the founder's original vision for Southern Shores: a low-density residential community comprised of single-family dwellings on large lots (served by a small commercial district for convenience shopping and services located at the southern end of the Town). This blueprint for land use naturally protects environmental resources and fragile areas by limiting development and growth. Action Item 2-b: The Town shall encourage the use of low impact development techniques and sound environmental preservation practices for all new development, remodeling and redevelopment within Southern Shores.

Policy 7: Support stormwater management programs that reduce flooding and improve coastal water quality. **Action Item 7-b:** Encourage the use of Low Impact Development (LID), vegetative buffers to filter stormwater, impervious surface limits, and innovative stormwater management alternatives to reduce runoff and to improve water quality.

Policy 26: Promote open space, tree protection, and natural vegetation diversity. **Action Item 26-b:** Encourage lot preparation methods that preserve natural vegetation and minimize clear cutting.

Town Code Section 36-207(c) establishes that Special Use Permits shall be subject to conditions and modifications relating to impacts on adjacent properties, transportation and transportation systems, transportation interconnectivity, stormwater, utilities and telecommunications facilities (including capacity), vegetation and other elements of the natural environment, noise, hours of operation, and other factors that the Town Council finds applicable; and additional regulations and requirements imposed by the Town Council, as provided in Article X of the Zoning Ordinance. Town Staff recommends that the Board recommend conditional approval of the application to the Town Council with the following conditions:

- The following approvals shall be issued prior to submittal of a Building Permit application:
 a. Soil Erosion Sedimentation Control Plan Permit for land disturbance over 1 acre from the NCDEQ;
 b. Stormwater Management Permit from the NCDEQ;
 c. NCDOT driveway permit and/or encroachment application for work in a State
 - NCDOT driveway permit and/or encroachment application for work in a State right-of-way;
 - d. Modification to Permit No. WQ0017224 from the NCDEQ (tentative wastewater approval received);
 - e. CAMA Permit from the NCDEQ;

- f. Review and approval of potable water distribution system modifications or extensions by the Dare County Water Dept. (tentative approval received);
- g. Receipts for payment of water connection fee from the Dare County Water Department;
- h. Water Main Extension Permit from the NCDEQ.
- i. Lot Disturbance/Stormwater Management Permit from the Town; and
- j. Approval from the Dominion Energy Transmission Group.
- 2. Prior to submittal of construction drawings, an updated fire hydrant flow test must be conducted and witnessed by Town Staff.
- 3. Construction drawings shall demonstrate compliance with Needed Fire Flow requirements, and all other applicable Fire Code requirements.
- 4. The site plan identifies a "Marina." The "Marina" is a series of docks and piers which are not permitted in the C, General Commercial zoning district (see interpretation letter dated August 14, 2023) and as a result, the proposed "Marina," proposed dock/picnic area/kayak storage, and proposed dock that extends into Ginguite Creek cannot be approved as a part of the application.
- 5. If NCDOT requires a traffic impact assessment or traffic study, the requirements in the traffic impact assessment or traffic study must be satisfied prior to submittal of a Building Permit application.
- 6. Maintain a 50 ft. setback from the eastern property line adjacent to Southern Shores Landing (applicable to buildings and other facilities such as parking spaces, incinerators, trash collection areas, etc.) and preserve the existing natural vegetative buffer.
- 7. Maintain a 50 ft. setback from the northern property line adjacent to All Saints' Episcopal Church (applicable to buildings and other facilities such as parking spaces, incinerators, trash collection areas, etc.) and preserve the existing natural vegetative buffer.
- 8. The applicant must strictly abide by all requirements of the Town Code and must also strictly comply with all other applicable local, State, and Federal requirements.

Summit Gupta, Ginguite LLC (the Applicant) addressed the board. Mr. Gupta stated he valued the comments heard and agreed with some. He has worked closely with staff and has followed the ordinance to a "T" and is not asking for anything that does not meet the ordinance. He believes multifamily units are needed and this property has been approved before for development. All the conditions staff has listed have been met, except for the 50 ft. buffer. The site plan currently has a 34 ft buffer from Landing Drive. They have spent \$900,000 on improvements to the sewer plant and are working with the state.

Michael Strader with Quible and Associates (the Applicant's Representative) stated he could address the technical aspects. He stated there are no wetland impacts proposed. An engineered stormwater system is proposed, there are currently no stormwater measures on the property now. The plan does not propose a community boat rental or a left turn through Landing Drive. They are open to further traffic measures and will honor the NCDOT process.

Chairperson Ward read a letter submitted by ETJ Planning Board Member John Finelli who could not be present for the meeting.

ETJ Representative John Finelli SUP-23-01 Comments

MARTIN'S POINT CONCERNS

Traffic – Without a traffic light, it will be nearly impossible to make a left had turn out of this property. As a result, much of the traffic will end up making a right-hand turn, then turning into the Service Road at Martin's Point and using the Martin's Point commercial properties as a turn- around. This Service Road was never designed or intended for this use. This area is already congested, and the added traffic will create delays and safety issues, as well as being an

imposition on the Martin's Point commercial property owners. It is reasonable to require the Applicant to conduct a Traffic Study as part of the SUP review. The Dare County Commissioners have required traffic studies under similar circumstances, the most recent being the SUP application for cluster homes in Wanchese. As part of the traffic study, the Applicant should seek approval for a traffic light, whether that light is paid for by NCDOT or the Applicant. There are already quite a few traffic lights in this area, but this will not impede traffic if they are coordinated. A traffic light at an entrance to this property could be placed such that it would be 900 feet from the nearest light. Such short distances already exist at other locations on Hwy 158, including lights in Hamburger Alley in KDH that are only 450 feet apart.

Marina — Under no circumstances should the Applicant be allowed to construct a commercial marina or conduct any type of commercial water-based activities. Jean Guite Creek is a valuable resource for recreational activities for the residents of Southern Shores and Martin's Point. It is often congested, particularly on summer weekends. A commercial marina has the potential to ruin this area for the residents, and there is nothing more dangerous than a tourist renting a boat or jet ski. Having said that, I believe that the residents of the SAGA condominiums should have access to the water and be able to enjoy the area as I have. As such, any boat slips should be assigned and deeded to the individual condo owners, with no more than one slip for each property. Boat slips shall not

be rented or leased. Boat and jet ski rentals should be prohibited. Commercial boat operations, including cruises, sight-seeing, parasailing, and other, should be prohibited. If kayak rentals are allowed, I think they should be confined to Jean Guite Creek, not Jean Guite Bay. Most of the kayak rentals from Kitty Hawk Kites, on the other side of Hwy 158, remain in the narrow creek. Those who venture into Jean Guite Bay are a menace to boaters and a danger to themselves. They are just trying to have a good time, but many don't know how to paddle and are clueless as to water safety, particularly around other boats.

During Construction — No vehicles involved in construction shall turn on to the Service Road at Martin's Point.

QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARING

As ETJ Representative, I believe I have Standing and should be allowed to testify at the Hearing on matters involving Jean Guite Creek and Jean Guite Bay, as these waters are in the ETJ. I also believe that a representative of the Martin's Point Homeowners Association (President Tim Baker or other) has Standing and should be allowed to testify since the proposed development borders Martin's Point and has an impact on our residents, with regards to noise and lighting, and on traffic that may turn into our entry.

Chairperson Ward started the S.U.P. review with the site narrative and felt going page by page would be the most thorough way to review.

Chairperson Ward started with the overview section and asked for the explanation to the 6.96 acres, as Dare GIS has it as 5.02 acres. Michael Strader, Quible & Assoc. stated the applicant does have deed to the bottom of the Ginguite Creek Boat Basin and with the northern area of the parcel it totals the 6.96 acres, he clarified that the parcel area not including surface water is 5.19 acres. The net acreage of the lot is approximately 4.55 acres, which does not include any areas covered by waterways, wetlands, or marshes.

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo asked what the gross coverage of 64.2% is based off of. Mr. Strader answered it excludes the surface water body and the coastal wetlands and that is the 4.55 Acres.

Planning Board Member Lawler asked if the Corps. of Engineers definitively did say this is not wetlands and asked for documentation to such. Michael Strader stated all that predated Quible being involved but George Wood had worked it out with the Corps. back when the bulkheading was conducted. There was also a more recent CAMA permit that the Corps. and CAMA confirmed what was there.

Chairperson Ward asked about the gross lot coverage within Zone C of 249,796 .5 square feet, what does that pertain to? Michael Strader stated Mr. Haskett had mentioned in the planning staff report that there are two zones for the subject parcel. If you follow the northern boundary where the subject parcel is adjacent to the church parcel and head directly west (basically splitting the boat basin) all the area to the south of that is the commercial zone property. Then everything north of that is in the R1 zone. To demonstrate compliance with the town's ordinance with respect to lot coverage we needed to show you the lot coverage only within the commercial zoning district, so we've only captured the area within the commercial zone but then we also excluded the surface water body from that area. The net acreage is being used.

Chairperson Ward stated that the lot coverage will come from that net amount of 198,177.73. Mr. Strader confirmed that number.

Chairperson Ward read the proposed use of the eastern and western building and asked the board for any general comment about the explanation.

Planning Board Member Zehner asked if any of the commercial offices would be associated with the residential use, such as rental management, sales, or housekeeping. He stated most likely some of these will be rentals and in that type of density you would want some onsite management. The applicant, Mr. Gupta, stated he has not given consideration to that, but it could be. He stated they have not made a decision to have an onsite office at this time.

Planning Board Member McClendon stated he had some questions about the residential use. He inquired if these were all condominiums, or an apartment complex. The applicant, Mr. Gupta stated they are technically 36 multifamily units, they could be condominiums which is a more public offering kind of document you have to do but the town code does not separate them; they could be long term rentals, vacation rentals, or condominiums. No decision has been made but as of now they are looking at 36 condominiums that range from 2 bedrooms to 4 bedrooms.

Planning Board Member McClendon asked how garbage and recycling would be managed for the occupants. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated there are two different refuse areas, one at the end of the proposed loading space on the furthest Western extents of the western building and the other is close to the shared entrance on the eastern building.

Planning Board Member McClendon was not in favor of the eastern buildings' dumpster location as it was next to an adjacent house. He also inquired if there would be an HOA. Mr. Gupta stated there would probably be two different association fees, one for residential and the other commercial.

Planning Board Member McClendon felt 9200 sq feet of commercial office space was a lot and inquired about the need. Mr. Gupta stated the commercial space will be a mix of offices, retail, and restaurants and will adjust with the exact tenant mix and as the market conditions change.

Planning Board Member Zehner asked a related question, if the applicant would feel comfortable if there was a condition that limited the square feet to the proposed uses or did they intend to do something different from what is proposed. Mr. Gupta did not have any plans to change the proposed plan but knowing it would have to come back for board approval if set as a condition, was not ideal. He clarified that the mix could fluctuate (different shop/restaurant sizes) but to show proper parking they needed to allocate a square footage of commercial space.

Michael Strader, Quible and Associates, felt it was key to have some flexibility for the survival of a commercial space. Planning Board Member Zehner felt it was hard to pin down the impacts if there's a lot of variability.

Chairperson Ward inquired about the 36 condominium units. Mr. Gupta stated there are 36 multifamily units, as of now they would be proposed as condominiums for sale but that could change along with market conditions, but for review purposes they are 36 multifamily units.

Chairperson Ward inquired about the total number of bedrooms within the 36 unit as it would factor into the wastewater number. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc. stated note 12 has the wastewater computations listed, which include bedrooms and commercial restaurant seating numbers.

Vice Chairperson DiBernardo inquired about the residential units in the west building. Mr. Gupta stated there are six units in that building, but they are included in the 36 total number, units can be condo minimized too. Plans for now are thirty 2–3-bedroom units in the eastern building and six larger units consisting of 3-4 bedrooms in the western building.

Chairperson Ward asked what the difference is between condo-minimized and apartment. Mr. Gupta stated you build a condo to certain building code standards, and you can deed that unit, which typically has a public offering statement stating what they own and the rules within the complex. Multifamily does not allow you to sell individually. Condos will give the ability to sell individually but that will be decided and what makes sense when the time comes.

Planning Board Member Zehner stated the board cannot regulate ownership and that is why Mr. Gupta keeps referring to it as multifamily units.

Moving on, Chairperson Ward read the existing site section of the narrative. He asked where the 10.25 above sea level was at. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., replied that it was by US 158.

Chairperson Ward asked if the applicant had an elevation at the building corners that the board can document before any lot disturbance occurs. Michael Strader stated the existing elevations are documented on the drainage sheet in the plan.

Planning Director Haskett clarified; Chairperson Ward is looking for the average of the existing grades of the corners. Chairperson Ward asked Mr. Strader to provide the average existing grades at the proposed building footprint (not immediately but to get that information to the board).

Chairperson Ward read about the power easement in the narrative and asked the applicant about the status on the 70-foot power easement formal application and statement.

A 70' power easement runs along the southern property line. The 70' power easement is located interior to both the property and the US 158 180' right-of-way. Three separate easements are located in the northeast corner of the property. A 5' ingress/egress easement, a kayak storage-picnic area & ingress/egress easement, and a joint-use fit center easement. An existing abandoned recreation center is located within the joint-use fit center easement. In the southeastern corner of the lot there is an access easement. Directly adjacent to the southeastern access easement is a perpetual easement for sewage treatment.

Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated they knew the two lengthiest regulatory reviews would be NCDOT and Dominion Energy and initiated those two permit applications or those encroachment agreements early on in the process. There has been a lot of dialogue with Dominion Energy because of trying to determine what can be done within their power easement. Typically, they don't allow structures in general, but they do allow parking and they will allow some extensive grading but no structures unless it is needed for public health and safety (fire hydrants). We have made a determination that Dominion Energy has seen the plan, and they were amenable, but they also are awaiting the town review process.

Chairperson Ward referenced the concerns listed in the letter from Planning Board Member ETJ Finelli and asked what the applicant would do if Dominion Energy does not allow vehicles under the 70 ft wide easement. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated if there were any state regulatory agency permits that were not attainable then those building permits couldn't occur and the site plan would not work the way it is shown right now.

426 Chairperson Ward asked Mr. Strader how long ago the applicant submitted an application to 427 Dominion Energy and was he aware of Dominion Energy not allowing parking under their easement. 428 Mr. Strader answered that the application to Dominion Energy was submitted approximately three 429 months earlier and although they do have the power to deny parking under their easement, he has 430 not had this occurred under his experience.

432 Planning Board Member McClendon stated the joint fitness recreation easement is an incredible 433 amenity for the residents of Southern Shores Landing, as well as the residents of the proposed 434 buildings. He is disappointed at the site plan that places a storm water box, a hole in the ground, right 435 in the middle of this incredible outdoor amenity. The church also has a Stations of the Cross path that 436 is along there, and a huge opportunity has been missed to create a better stormwater product. 437 Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated those are dry infiltration basins, it is not constructed

wetlands or it's not wet retention basins, it is designed to be dry to promote infiltration.

438 439

431

440

441

442

443

444 445

446

447

448 449

450 451

452

453 454

455

456

457 458

459

460

461 462

463

464

465

466

467

468 469

470

471

472

473 474

475

476

Applicant Summit Gupta stated the plans will have nice landscaping and look much better than it does now. He also committed, if the church agrees, to expand the boardwalk over the corner of the abutting properties (marsh) to connect the walkways. He is aware that CAMA will require a joint application.

Planning Board Member Lawler inquired about the stormwater overflow into the church's property. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated nothing is being proposed off property and that is an existing swale on the subject property.

Chairperson Ward added that the church is genuinely concerned about spillage going their way.

Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated as far as the infiltration basin, the State only requires an inch and a half to be captured, but we know we are experiencing more events than just the inch and a half. This basin has over two feet from the bottom to the storage elevation, then nearly a foot of freeboard over top of that and greater than two feet of separation to seasonal high. All the basins are also interconnected, they're going to handle a much greater volume than what the State requires it to handle. We are trying to capture the water and prevent it from leaving the subject parcel.

Planning Board Member Zehner asked what the 50 ft stormwater buffer is listed on the plan. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated you can manage stormwater within that 50 ft buffer, but you must discharge outside of the 50 ft. buffer.

Planning Board Member McClendon stated that the plan shows the eastern boundary going from the same elevation as the storm drain down to the adjacent residences. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated they are trying to preserve as much of that existing vegetation on the eastern buffer as possible, but also limit the disturbance of the grading by tying into existing grades as far away from that boundary as possible. He also noted that 100% of that runoff from any impervious surfaces is being captured.

Planning Board Member Zehner inquired if the current aerial view depicted the current vegetative buffer on the eastern boundary. It would be helpful to have a landscape architect do the landscape plan because it bleeds into other plans. The plan does not clarify what is being removed and what is being retained.

Chairperson Ward, when reviewing the list of easements, questioned the easement of the lift station on Southern Shores Landing common property. He stated the common property is owned by the Vornadore family and asked Planning Director Haskett if he had had any contact with them. Planning 477 Director Haskett stated whenever certified mail is sent to the Vornadore's with the address provided 478 by county records it comes back as unreceived.

479 480

Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated the applicant is the owner of the utility, the utility owner has rights to that easement, so they could tie into that lift station.

481 482 483

484

485

486

Planning Board Member Lawler stated new sewer lines will need to be added and tied into the pump station, how do you do that without getting an easement. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated the easement is already in place. The plant already has permitted capacity, and the proposed sewer usage is within that plant capacity. The applicant will still have to apply to DEQ for the wastewater line extension, but the capacity is there.

487 488 489

490 491

Chairperson Ward stated the board has not seen an easement for that stub of Landing Drive that goes from US 158 into Southern Shores Landing. Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated the shared access easement for Ingress egress was in the packet, they are also recorded with Dare County Register of Deeds.

492 493 494

495

496

497

Michael Strader, Quible and Assoc., stated these types of questions should have occurred before this meeting, they have been provided to staff as the applicant is obligated to provide this type of information with the permit. Chairperson Ward stated you provided us with a narrative and the board intends to question everything that is on there, as they have a right to do so as long as reasonable progress is made.

498 499 500

Chairperson Ward stated the board will continue its review of SUP-23-01 in October as it will not be completed in one meeting.

501 502 503

504

PUBLIC COMMENT

Nellie Healy-5205 Barlow Lane-tipping point where no more building is needed. The planned 36 units are not needed and should be left as a green space. Residents should buy the land and leave it alone.

505 506 507

Katie Foster-156 Chicahauk Trail-just found out about this and will bring more people to the next meeting. This is our heaven, and it needs to be protected.

508 509 510

511

512

Emily Ausband-owns property across the street (Islander Flags) expressed concern about the traffic. Have asked for several years for a stop light and it is hard to imagine how much worse the traffic will get. The area is extremely dark at night and trying to navigate it at night would be difficult. Would like traffic light shared with her entrance if permit granted.

513 514 515

Dan Healy-5205 Barlow Lane-grew up with Ginguite Creek wildlife, beautiful, kayaking. Dynamic space would be a logistical nightmare if developed. Opposed to development, urge caution.

516 517 518

Tim Baker-asked Planning Director Haskett about the marina.

519

520 Planning Director Haskett stated the ordinance doesn't allow marinas, piers, or docks so we cannot approve it as part of the site plan.

523 Ann Sjoerdsma-232 N Dogwood Trail-The Planning Board is asking excellent questions and thanked all 524 the citizens for coming out, she reminded the audience of the election that will be taking place in November and the candidate forum October 2nd. 525 526 527 Chairperson Ward closed public comment. 528 529 PLANNING BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS 530 Chairperson Ward thanked the board members for digging in hard and spending extra time working 531 with Planning Director Haskett. The board is going to take their time with this review. He stated this is 532 our Town and we're going to get it right; it may not be what everybody wants but we're going to 533 make a real hard effort to hold the applicant accountable and try to dial down a little bit of the 534 grandiose proposal that we have in front of us. 535 536 **ANNOUNCEMENTS** Planning Director Wes Haskett stated the next meeting will be October 16th and the board will 537 538 continue review of SUP-23-01 539 540 **ADJOURN** 541 Hearing no further business, motion made by Vice Chairperson DiBernardo, Seconded by Planning 542 Board Member Collins. The motion passed unanimously. The time was 7:34 p.m. 543 544 545 546 Respectfully submitted, 547 548 heila Kane, Town Clerk



All Saints Episcopal Church

An open-hearted, open-minded congregation seeking to be good neighbors and to welcome new neighbors.

Our roles in the community . . .

- · Promoting the spiritual well-being of our parishioners
- Reaching out broadly and serving our community
- Addressing educational and developmental needs of preschoolers and their families



Our work in the community . . .

- Promoting the spiritual well-being of parishioners:

 - Weekly worship services, adult education Special services during Holy Week, the Advent Season and Christmas
 - Provide spaces for prayer and quiet reflection in the Outdoor Sanctuary



Reaching out broadly and serving our community:

- Holly Days Bazaar & Arts Festival After Dark at All Saints
- Support for Pridefest
 - Performance site for the Don and Catharine **Bryan Cultural Series**

After Dark at All Saints has generated more than \$115,812 over nine seasons to support After Dark at All Saints has generated more than \$113,812 over nine seasons to support community service organizations, including more than \$14,000 this year among Beach Food Pantry, Community Care Clinic of Dare, Children & Youth Partnership, Food For Thought, Interfaith Community Outreach, Outer Banks Relief Foundation, Room In The Inn and the Outer Banks Dementia Friendly Coalition.



Addressing educational and developmental needs of preschoolers

The All Saints School (TASS) Montessori preschool



Welcoming new neighbors

We respect the right of Ginguite LLC to develop its property within the legal parameters set forth in zoning and development policies of the Town of Southern Shores, Dare County, and agencies of the State of North Carolina. At the same time, we expect them to be sensitive to the impact of their development on parishioners' lives and the effectiveness of our work in the community. This pertains to:

BUILDING HEIGHT. Rows of **buildings reaching a height of 43' MSL** (see building elevations in SUP-23-01) would tower over the tranquil setting of our long-established Outdoor Sanctuary. Such tall structures would loom over this sacred space and compromise the solemnity of the Columbarium, Labyrinth, and Stations of the Cross as places for introspection and quiet reflection. The **use of fill on the site will further elevate the buildings** and exacerbate the development's negative impact on the church and surrounding community. We strongly recommend that ground floors be set to existing elevations.

NEIGHBORHOOD CONNECTIONS: All Saints seeks to preserve and enhance our connection to the adjoining neighborhood of Southern Shores Landing and to encourage connections with newcomers who will reside in the new development. The attached updated plat shows that the trail connection with Southern Shores Landing is severed by a basin surrounded by a 6' embankment that includes an **overflow weir that would channel floodwater directly to All Saints property.** The updated plan also eliminates an existing access easement that overlays a trail from the church to the Ginguite parcel. This would severely restrict pedestrian access to the church for prospective parishioners who purchase homes in the development. Rather than destroying them, these connecting trails should be restored and enhanced, and the overflow basin and its embankments relocated.

3

Welcoming new neighbors (cont'd.)

DRAINAGE: In the event of a hurricane or flooding rain, the drainage plan for the proposed development will have serious consequences for church property and restrict access to the church and school. Overflow from the proposed basin on the northeast segment of the property will be channeled from an overflow weir directly to church property around and under the Education wing that houses TASS classrooms and nursery spaces. The proposed basin is not deep enough nor sufficiently armored to prevent this overflow. Consistent with current drainage patterns, the drainage basin should be relocated, and overflow floodwater should be directed to the Ginguite Creek basin.

BUFFERING: The current plan provides no visual green buffers to the towering three- or four-story homes proposed for the development. Other than a few existing trees outside the proposed basin and embankment and a buffer that will limit interactions with residents of Southern Shores Landing, no large trees are planned for the area between the proposed buildings and church property. The 75' CAMA AEC is largely developed with no large trees. Therefore, we request that the developer show the percentage cover of the 75' CAMA AEC and urge officials of the Town and Division of Coastal Management (DCM) to ensure that building-coverage requirements are not exceeded. We also request additional buffering, possibly including the relocation of buildings from the shoreline, meeting the 30% CAMA AEC coverage, and adding large trees as screening between the proposed buildings and the shoreline.

STRUCTURE SETBACK: The updated plan now places the proposed kayak storage facility outside of the area defined by the 50' MBSL, increasing the space between this facility and the border with All Saints property. The dimensions and characteristics of this facility are not provided. What type of building is it? Does it include the construction of docks, boat lifts, or launching areas? Will it comply with CAMA regulations and has an application for a CAMA permit for a pier or dock been submitted? Such information should be provided prior to approving the application.



